

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/091,284	HARPER ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Frederick H. Rabin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 August 2009

Time: ~ 11:25 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

None.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel S. Metzmaier/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: discussed the updating of the cross-noting section of the specification and the defective original declaration. Indicated to applicants' representative that an Action under Ex parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935) would be sent to correct said formal issues..